

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/646,954	05/08/96	MACOR	R IDEW056

RICHARD J MACOR
2151 NEW VILLAGE ROAD
STEWARTSVILLE NJ 08886

C2M1/0320

EXAMINER	
DANGANAN, J	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3203	7

DATE MAILED: 03/20/96

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

Advisory Action	Application No. 08/646,954	Applicant(s) Richard J. Macor
	Examiner Joni Danganan	Group Art Unit 3203

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]

a) expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on _____ (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).

Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on Mar 2, 1998 has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

The proposed amendment(s):

will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.

will not be entered because:

- they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
- they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
- they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
- they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: Newly submitted claims 32-46 contain 35 USC 112 errors, e.g., the term "modified" is vague and indefinite, and 2 claims are numbered 45. Additionally, newly submitted claims 32-46 would be rejected under the prior art of record.

Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):

the provisional obvious-type double patenting rejection of Claims 1-10 and 31, and the 35 USC 103(a) rejections of Claims 1-10 and 31. These claims would be allowable if the term "dimension" were clearly defined, i.e. change "a dimension" to --an inner radius--.

Newly proposed or amended claims _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.

The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):

Claims allowed: 1-10 and 31 (contingent upon the clarification of "dimension")

Claims objected to: none

Claims rejected: 11-30

The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ has has not been approved by the Examiner.

Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

Other


EILEEN P. MORGAN 3-19-98
PRIMARY EXAMINER